arXiv:1207.4033v2 [math.DG] 13 Aug 2012

AN OBATA-TYPE THEOREM IN CR GEOMETRY

SONG-YING LI AND XIAODONG WANG

1. Introduction

In Riemannian geometry, estimates on the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplace operator have played important roles and there have been many beautiful results. We refer the reader to the books Chavel [C] and Schoen-Yau [SY]. The following theorem is a classic result.

Theorem 1. *(Lichnerowicz-Obata) Let* (M^n, g) *be a closed Riemannian manifold with* $Ric \geq (n-1)\kappa$*, where* κ *is a positive constant. Then the first positive eigenvalue of Laplacian satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \geq n\kappa. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, equality holds iff M *is isometric to a round sphere.*

The estimate $\lambda_1 \geq n\kappa$ was proved by Lichnerowicz [L] in 1958. The characterization of the equality case was established by Obata [O] in 1962. In fact, he deduced it from the following more general

Theorem 2. *(Obata* [O]*) Suppose* (N^n, g) *is a complete Riemannian manifold and* u *a smooth, nonzero function on* N *satisfying* $D^2u = -c^2ug$*, then* N *is isometric to a sphere* $\mathbb{S}^n(c)$ *of radius* $1/c$ *in the Euclidean space* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*.*

In CR geometry, we have the most basic example of a second order differential operator which is subelliptic, namely the sublaplacian Δ_b. On a closed pseudohermitian manifold, the sublaplacian Δ_b still defines a selfadjoint operator with a discrete spectrum

$$\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \tag{1.2}$$

with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = +\infty$. One would naturally hope that the study of these eigenvalues in CR geometry will be as fruitful as in Riemannian geometry. An analogue of the Lichnerowicz estimate for the sublaplacian on a strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-Hermitian manifold (M^{2m+1}, θ) was proved by Greenleaf in [G] for $m \geq 3$ and by Li and Luk [LL] for $m = 2$. Later it was pointed out that there was an error in the proof of the Bochner formula in [G]. Due to this error, the Bochner formula as well as the CR-Lichnerowicz theorem in [G, LL] are not correctly formulated. The corrected statement is

Theorem 3. *Let* M *be a compact, strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-hermitian manifold of dimension* $2m+1 \geq 5$*. Suppose that the Webster pseudo Ricci curvature and the pseudo torsion satisfy*

$$Ric(X,X) - \frac{m+1}{2} Tor(X,X) \geq \kappa |X|^2 \tag{1.3}$$

The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0905904.

for all $X \in T^{1,0}(M)$*, where* κ *is a positive constant. Then the first positive eigenvalue of* $-\Delta_b$ *satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{m}{m+1}\kappa. \tag{1.4}$$

The estimate is sharp as one can verify that equality holds on the CR sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^{2m+1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} : |z| = 1\}$$

with the psudo-hermitian structure

$$\theta = \sqrt{-1}\partial(|z|^2 - 1).$$

The natural question whether the equality case characterizes the CR sphere was not addressed in [G]. The torsion appearing in (1.3) is a major new obstacle comparing with the Riemanian case. This question has been recently studied by several authors and partial results have been established. Chang and Chiu [CC1] proved that the equality case characterizes the CR sphere if M has zero torsion. Ivanov and Vassilev [IV] proved the same conclusion under the weaker condition that the divergence of the torsion is zero. Li and Tran [LT] considered the special case that M is a real ellipsoid $E(A)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$. They computed κ explicitly and proved that the equality, $\lambda_1 = m\kappa/(m+1)$ implies $E(A)$ is the sphere. But in general it is very difficult to handle the torsion.

In this paper, we provide a new method which can handle the torsion and yields an affirmative answer to this question in the general case.

Theorem 4. *If equality holds in Theorem 3, then* M *is equivalent to the CR sphere* $\mathbb{S}^{2m+1}$ *up to a scaling.*

In fact our proof yields the following more general result which can be viewed as the CR analogue of Theorem 2 (for notation see Section 2).

Theorem 5. *Let* M *be a compact pseudo-hermitian manifold of dimension* $2m+1 \geq 5$*. Suppose there exists a real nonzero function* $u \in C^\infty(M)$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\alpha,\beta} &= 0, \\ u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2(m+1)}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$*. Then* M *is CR equivalent to the CR sphere* $\mathbb{S}^{2m+1}$ *up to a scaling.*

The 3-dimensional case is more sublte. It is not clear if these results are true in 3 dimensions. Partial results with additional conditions are discussed in the last section.

The approach in [CC1] is to consider a family of adapted Riemannian metrics and try to apply the Lichnerowiz-Obata theorem. This approach requires very complicated calculations to relate the various CR quantities and the corresponding Riemannian ones. In December 2010, the authors found a new approach working directly with the Riemannian Hessian of the eigenfunction. With this approach we generalized the Chang-Chiu result to show that rigidity holds provided the double divergence of the torsion vanishes (see Remark 5 in Section4). In their preprint [IV], Ivanov and Vassilev found the same strategy independently and proved rigidity under the condition that the divergence of the torsion is zero. But to solve the general case requires a new ingredient. We employ a delicate integration by parts argument which requires a good understanding of the critical set of the eigenfunction.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic facts in CR geometry. In Section 3 following the argument of Greenleaf, we present the proof of Theorem 2 with all the necessary corrections. Theorem 3 is proved in Sections 4 and 5. Finally, in Section 6, we make some remarks about the case $2m+1=3$.

2. Preliminaries

Let (M,θ,J) be a strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-Hermitian manifold of dimension $2m+1$. Thus $G_\theta = d\theta\left(\cdot, J\cdot\right)$ defines a Riemannian metric on the contact distribution $H\left(M\right) = \ker\theta$. As usual, we set $T^{1,0}\left(M\right) = \{w - \sqrt{-1}Jw : w \in H\left(M\right)\} \subset T\left(M\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $T^{0,1}\left(M\right) = \overline{T^{1,0}\left(M\right)}$. Let T be the Reeb vector field and extend J to an endomorphism ϕ on TM by defining $\phi\left(T\right)=0$. We have a natural Riemannian metric g_θ on M such that $TM = \mathbb{R}T \oplus H\left(M\right)$ is an orthogonal decomposition and $g_\theta\left(T,T\right)=1$. In the following, we will simply denote g_θ by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of g_θ while ∇ is the Tanaka-Webster connection. For basic facts on CR geometry, one can consult the recent book [DT] or the original papers by Tanaka [T] and Webster [W].

Recall that the Tanaka-Webster connection is compatible with the metric g_θ, but it has a non-trivial torsion. The torsion τ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\left(Z,W\right) &= 0, \\ \tau\left(Z,\overline{W}\right) &= \omega\left(Z,\overline{W}\right)T, \\ \tau\left(T,J\cdot\right) &= -J\tau\left(T,\cdot\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $Z,W \in T^{1,0}\left(M\right)$. We define $A: T\left(M\right) \to T\left(M\right)$ by $AX = \tau\left(T,X\right)$. It is customary to simply call A the torsion of the CR manifold. It is easy to see that A is symmpetric. Moreover $AT=0, AH\left(M\right) \subset H\left(M\right)$ and $A\phi X = -\phi AX$.

The following formula gives the difference between the two connections $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and ∇. The proof is based on straightforward calculation and can be found in [DT].

Proposition 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + \theta\left(Y\right)AX + \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta\left(Y\right)\phi X + \theta\left(X\right)\phi Y\right) \\ &\quad - \left[\langle AX,Y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\omega\left(X,Y\right)\right]T. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *We have*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X T = AX + \frac{1}{2}\phi\left(X\right).$$

In particular, $\widetilde{\nabla}_T T = 0$*. If* X *and* Y *are both horizontal, then*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \left[\langle AX,Y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\omega\left(X,Y\right)\right]T.$$

In the following, we will always work with a local frame $\{T_\alpha : \alpha = 1,\cdots,m\}$ for $T^{1,0}\left(M\right)$. Then $\{T_\alpha, T_{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{T_\alpha}, T_0 = T\}$ is a local frame for $T\left(M\right)\otimes\mathbb{C}$. Let $h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = -i\omega\left(T_\alpha, T_{\overline{\beta}}\right) = g_\theta\left(T_\alpha, T_{\overline{\beta}}\right)$ be the components of the Levi form. For a smooth function u on M, we will use notations such as $u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}$ to denoted its covariant derivatives with respect to the Tanaka-Webster connection ∇. Let D^2u be the Hessian of u with respect to the Riemannian metric g_θ.

By straightforward calculation using Proposition 1, one can derive

Proposition 2. *We have the following formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^2u\left(T,T\right)=u_{0,0},\\
&D^2u\left(T,T_\alpha\right)=u_{\alpha,0}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_\alpha,\\
&D^2u\left(T_\alpha,T_\beta\right)=u_{a,\beta}+A_{\alpha\beta}u_0\\
&D^2u\left(T_\alpha,T_{\overline{\beta}}\right)=u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}u_0
\end{aligned}$$

In doing calculations we will need to use repeatedly the following formulas which can be found in [DT] or [Lee].

Proposition 3. *We have the following formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{0,\alpha} &= u_{a,0}+A_\alpha^{\overline{\beta}}u_{\overline{\beta}},u_{a,\beta}=u_{\beta,\alpha},\\
u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= u_{\overline{\beta},\alpha}+\sqrt{-1}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}u_0,\\
u_{\alpha,0\beta} &= u_{\alpha,\beta0}+A_\beta^{\overline{\gamma}}u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}}+R_{\beta0\alpha}^\sigma u_\sigma\\
&= u_{\alpha,\beta0}+A_\beta^{\overline{\gamma}}u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}}-A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\gamma}}h^{\sigma\overline{\gamma}}u_\sigma\\
u_{\alpha,0\overline{\beta}} &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}0}+u_{a,\gamma}h^{\gamma\overline{\nu}}A_{\overline{\nu}\overline{\beta}}+h^{\gamma\overline{\nu}}A_{\overline{\nu}\overline{\beta},\alpha}u_\gamma,\\
u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}\overline{\gamma}} &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}}+\sqrt{-1}\left(h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}A_{\overline{\gamma}}^\sigma-h_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}}A_{\overline{\beta}}^\sigma\right)u_\sigma,\\
u_{\alpha,\beta\overline{\gamma}} &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}\beta}+\sqrt{-1}h_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}u_{\alpha,0}-R_{\beta\overline{\gamma}\alpha}^\sigma u_\sigma,\\
u_{\alpha,\beta\gamma} &= u_{\alpha,\gamma\beta}-R_{\beta\gamma\alpha}^\sigma u_\sigma\\
&= u_{\alpha,\gamma\beta}+\sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\gamma}u_\beta-A_{\alpha\beta}u_\gamma\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. *Our convention for the curvature tensor is*

$$R\left(X,Y,Z,W\right)=\left\langle-\nabla_X\nabla_YZ+\nabla_Y\nabla_XZ+\nabla_{[X,Y]}Z,W\right\rangle.$$

3. THE ESTIMATE ON λ_1

In this section, we prove the estimate on λ_1 following Greeenleaf [G]. This serves two purposes. First, there is a mistake in [G] as pointed out by [GL] and [CC1]. This has caused some confusion (e.g. see the presentation in [DT]) and we hope to clarify the whole situation. Secondly, we need to analyze the proof when we address the equality case.

From now on, we always work with a local unitary frame $\{T_\alpha:\alpha=1,\cdots,m\}$ for $T^{1,0}\left(M\right)$. Given a smooth function u, its sublaplacian is given by

$$\Delta_bu=\sum_\alpha u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}+u_{\overline{\alpha},\alpha}.$$

We have the following Bochner formula.

Theorem 6. *Let* $|\partial_b u|^2 = u_\alpha u_{\overline{\alpha}}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b |\partial_b u|^2 &= |u_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + \left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[(\Delta_b u)_\alpha u_{\overline{\alpha}} + (\Delta_b u)_{\overline{\alpha}} u_\alpha\right] \\ &\quad + R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \frac{m}{2}\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right] \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1}\left(u_{\overline{\beta}} u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. *This was first derived by Greenleaf* [G]. *But due to a mistake in calculation pointed out by* [GL] *and* [CC1], *the coefficient* $\frac{m}{2}$ *on the RHS was mistaken to be* $\frac{m-2}{2}$.

The following formulas are also derived in [G].

Lemma 1. *We have the following two integral inequalities*

$$\int \sqrt{-1}\left(u_{\overline{\beta}} u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) = \frac{2}{m}\int \left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 - |u_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 - R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}}.$$

$$\int \sqrt{-1}\left(u_{\overline{\beta}} u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) = \int \frac{1}{m}(\Delta_b u)^2 - \frac{4}{m}\left|\sum u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2 - \sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\beta} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\beta}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} u_\alpha u_\beta\right)$$

$$(m-2)\int \sqrt{-1}\left(u_{\overline{\beta}} u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) = \int 4\left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 - (\Delta_b u)^2 + \sqrt{-1}m\left(A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right).$$

We can now state the main estimate on λ_1. For completion and future application in the next section, we also provide the detail of the proof here.

Theorem 7. *Let* M *be a compact pseudo-hermitian manifold of dimension* $2m+1 \geq 5$. *Suppose for any* $X \in T^{1,0}(M)$

$$Ric(X,X) - \frac{m+1}{2} Tor(X,X) \geq \kappa |X|^2, \tag{3.1}$$

where κ *is a positive constant. Then the first eigenvalue of* $-\Delta_b$ *satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{m}{m+1}\kappa.$$

Remark 4. *In terms of our local unitary frame, the assumption (3.1) means that for any* $X = f_a T_\alpha$

$$R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} f_\sigma f_{\overline{\alpha}} + \frac{m+1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} f_{\overline{\alpha}} f_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma}} f_\sigma f_\alpha\right] \geq \kappa \sum_\alpha |f_\alpha|^2.$$

Proof. Suppose $-\Delta_b u = \lambda_1 u$. Applying the Bochner formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int |u_{\alpha,\beta}|^2 + \left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 - \lambda_1 |\partial_b u|^2 \\ &\quad + R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \frac{m}{2}\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right] \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1}\left(u_{\overline{\beta}} u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We write the last term as c times the first identity plus $(1-c)$ times the second identity of Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int \left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 + \left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 - \lambda_1 \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\
&\quad + R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \frac{m}{2}\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{2c}{m}\int \left(\left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 - \left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 - R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}}\right) \\
&\quad + \int \frac{1-c}{m}\lambda_1^2 u^2 - \frac{4(1-c)}{m}\left|\sum u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2 - (1-c)\sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\beta} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\beta}} - A_{\overline{\alpha\beta}} u_\alpha u_\beta\right) \\
&= \int \left(1 - \frac{2c}{m}\right)\left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{2c}{m}\right)\left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 + \left(-1 + \frac{2(1-c)}{m}\right)\lambda_1 \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{2c}{m}\right) R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 + c\right)\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{4(1-c)}{m}\left|\sum u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \left|u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}}\right|^2 \geq \left|\sum u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2/m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int \left(1 - \frac{2c}{m}\right)\left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 + \left(-1 + \frac{2(1-c)}{m}\right)\lambda_1 \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{2c}{m}\right) R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 + c\right)\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right] + \\
&\quad + \left[\left(1 + \frac{2c}{m}\right)\frac{1}{m} - \frac{4(1-c)}{m}\right]\left|\sum u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose c such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{2c}{m}\right)\frac{1}{m} - \frac{4(1-c)}{m} = 0,$$

i.e. $c = 3m/(4m+2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int \frac{2(m-1)}{2m+1}\left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 - \frac{2\left(m^2-1\right)}{m(2m+1)}\lambda_1 \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2(m-1)}{2m+1}\left\{R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} u_\sigma u_{\overline{\alpha}} + \frac{m+1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\left[A_{\alpha\sigma} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\sigma}} - A_{\overline{\alpha\sigma}} u_\sigma u_\alpha\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{(m-1)}{2m+1}\int 2\left(\kappa - \frac{m+1}{m}\lambda_1\right)\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 + \left|u_{\alpha,\beta}\right|^2 \leq 0.$$

It follows that $\lambda_1 \geq m\kappa/(m+1)$ when $m \geq 2$. $\square$

4. Equality case

We now discuss the equality case. By scaling, we can assume $\kappa = (m+1)/2$ and thus $\lambda = m/2$.

Proposition 4. *If equality holds, we must have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\alpha,\beta} &= 0, \tag{4.1}\\ u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.2}\\ u_{0,\alpha} &= 2A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_\alpha, \tag{4.3}\\ u_{0,0} &= -\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{4}{m}\mathrm{Im}A_{\alpha\sigma,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}. \tag{4.4}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, at any point where $\partial u \neq 0$

$$\sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{Q}{|\partial_b u|^4}u_\alpha u_\beta, \tag{4.5}$$

where $Q = \sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}$.

Proof. If equality holds, we must have $u_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ and

$$u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} = f\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.6}$$

where f is a complex-valued function. Taking conjugate of (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f}\delta_{\alpha\beta} &= u_{\overline{\alpha},\beta}\\ &= u_{\beta,\overline{\alpha}} - \sqrt{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}u_0\\ &= \left(f - \sqrt{-1}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathrm{Im}f = \frac{1}{2}u_0$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{2}u &= -\Delta_b u\\ &= -u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}} - u_{\overline{\alpha},\alpha}\\ &= -m\left(f + \overline{f}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{Re}f = -\frac{1}{4}u$. Therefore

$$f = -\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0, \tag{4.7}$$

This proves (4.2).

Differentiating (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= u_{\alpha,\beta\gamma} - u_{\alpha,\gamma\beta}\\ &= \sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\gamma}u_\beta - A_{\alpha\beta}u_\gamma\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A_{\alpha\gamma}u_\beta - A_{\alpha\beta}u_\gamma = 0$$

From this we easily obtain (4.5).

Differentiating (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\overline{\gamma}}\delta_{\alpha\beta} &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}\overline{\gamma}}\\ &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}\overline{\beta}} + \sqrt{-1}\left(h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\gamma}} - h_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\beta}}\right)u_\sigma\\ &= f_{\overline{\beta}}\delta_{\alpha\gamma} + \sqrt{-1}\left(\delta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\gamma}} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\beta}}\right)u_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\left(f_{\overline{\gamma}}-\sqrt{-1}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\gamma}}u_\sigma\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}=\left(f_{\overline{\beta}}-\sqrt{-1}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\beta}}u_\sigma\right)\delta_{\alpha\gamma}$. It follows $f_{\overline{\gamma}}-\sqrt{-1}A^{\sigma}_{\overline{\gamma}}u_\sigma=0$. Using (4.7), this yields

$$\sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}}=\frac{1}{2}u_\alpha+\sqrt{-1}u_{\alpha,0}. \tag{4.8}$$

This then implies (4.3) by using the first identity of Proposition 3.

To prove the last identity, taking trace of (4.2) we obtain

$$m\left(-\frac{1}{4}u+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)=u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}.$$

Differentiating and using Proposition 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
m\left(-\frac{1}{4}u_0+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{0,0}\right) &= u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}0}\\
&= u_{\alpha,0\overline{\alpha}}-A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma},\alpha}u_\sigma\\
&= \left(A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_\alpha\right)_{,\overline{\alpha}}-A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma},\alpha}u_\sigma\\
&= A_{\alpha\sigma,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}-A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma},\alpha}u_\sigma\\
&= 2\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Im}A_{\alpha\sigma,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}+m\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}u+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\\
&= -\frac{m}{4}u_0+\sqrt{-1}\left(2\mathrm{Im}A_{\alpha\sigma,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}-\frac{m}{8}u\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the imaginary part yields (4.4). ☐

Lemma 2. *We also have*

$$R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma+(m+1)\sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}}=\frac{m+1}{2}u_\alpha. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. This follows easily from the fact the inequality is achieved for $X=u_{\overline{\sigma}}T_\sigma$. We can also derive it in the following way. Differentiating (4.1) and using (4.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= u_{\alpha,\beta\overline{\gamma}}\\
&= u_{\alpha,\overline{\gamma}\beta}+\sqrt{-1}\delta_{\beta\gamma}u_{\alpha,0}-R_{\beta\overline{\gamma}\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma\\
&= \left(-\frac{1}{4}u_\beta+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{0,\beta}\right)\delta_{\alpha\gamma}+\sqrt{-1}u_{\alpha,0}\delta_{\beta\gamma}-R_{\beta\overline{\gamma}\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking trace over β and γ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -\frac{1}{4}u_\alpha+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{0,\alpha}+m\sqrt{-1}u_{\alpha,0}+R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma\\
&= -\frac{1}{4}u_\alpha+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}}+\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sqrt{-1}u_{\alpha,0}+R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma\\
&= -\frac{(m+1)}{2}u_\alpha+(m+1)\sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}}+R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (4.8) to replace $u_{\alpha,0}$. ☐

Lemma 3. *Q is real and nonpositive.*

Remark 5. *This lemma will not be needed in the proof of the rigidity. However, it yields a quick proof if we assume the following extra condition*

$$A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} = 0,$$

i.e. the double divergence of the torsion is zero. Indeed, integrating by parts and using (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M Q &= -\sqrt{-1}\int_M A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\beta}}u\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_M A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}u^2\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

As Q is nonpositive, this implies that $Q=0$. Therefore $A=0$. See the discussion on the torsion-free case below.

Proof. From (4.9) we have

$$R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_{\overline{\alpha}}u_{\sigma} + (m+1)\,Q = \frac{m+1}{2}\left|\partial_b u\right|^2.$$

This shows that Q is real. Taking conjugate, we also have $Q = -\sqrt{-1}A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\sigma}}u_{\alpha}u_{\sigma}$. In the inequality, taking $X = e^{it}u_{\overline{\alpha}}T_{\alpha}$ yields

$$R_{\alpha\overline{\sigma}}u_{\overline{\alpha}}u_{\sigma} + (m+1)\,Q\cos 2t \geq \frac{m+1}{2}\left|\partial_b u\right|^2.$$

Therefore $Q \leq 0$. □

Theorem 4 follows from

Lemma 4. *The torsion $A=0$.*

The proof of this statement will be presented in the next section.

Assuming this lemma, Theorem 4 then follows from Chang and Chiu [CC1]. In the following, we present a simpler and more direct argument. Since A vanishes, we have

$$u_{0,\alpha} = u_{\alpha,0} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{\alpha}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$u_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, u_{0,0} = -\frac{1}{4}u \tag{4.11}$$

$$u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{4.12}$$

By Proposition 2, we obtain

Proposition 5. *Let D^2u be the Hessian of u with respect to the Riemannian metric g_θ. Then*

$$D^2u = -\frac{1}{4}ug_{\theta}.$$

Theorem 4 follows from Obata's theorem (Theorem 2).

5. Proof of Lemma 4

Let $\psi = |A|$. In local unitary frame

$$\psi = \sqrt{\sum |A_{\alpha\beta}|^2}.$$

We note that ψ is continuous and ψ^2 is smooth. Let $K = \{\partial u = 0\}$. By (4.5), on $M \backslash K$ ψ is smooth and

$$\psi = -\frac{Q}{|\partial_b u|^2},$$

or

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{-1}\psi \frac{u_\alpha u_\beta}{|\partial_b u|^2}.$$

Lemma 5. *The compact set K is of Hausdorff dimension at most $n-2$ ($n = 2m+1 = \dim M$). More precisely we have a countable union $K = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, where each E_i has finite $n-2$ dimensional Hausdorff measure: $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(E_i) < \infty$.*

Remark 6. *Here the Hausdorff dimension is defined using the distance function of the Riemannian metric g_θ.*

Proof. We have $K = K_1 \sqcup K_2$, where

$$K_1 = \{p \in K : u(p) \neq 0 \text{ or } u_0(p) \neq 0\}, K_2 = \{p \in M : u(p) = 0 \text{ and } du(p) = 0\}.$$

We first prove that K_1 is of Hausdorff dimension $n-2$. Suppose $p \in K_1$. In a local unitrary frame $\{T_\alpha\}$ we have by Proposition 4

$$u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We write $T_\alpha = X_\alpha - \sqrt{-1}Y_\alpha$ in terms of the real and imaginary parts. Then we have $2m$ real local vector fields $\{Z_i\}$, where $Z_i = X_i$ for $i \leq m$ and $Z_i = Y_{i-m}$ for $i > m$. Along K_1 the above equation takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} X_\beta X_\alpha u + Y_\beta Y_\alpha u &= -\frac{1}{4}u\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \\ Y_\beta X_\alpha u - X_\beta Y_\alpha u &= \frac{1}{2}u_0\delta_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since either $u(p) \neq$ or $u_0(p) \neq 0$, from the above equation it is straightforward to check that there exists $i < j$ s.t. the local map $F : q \to (Z_i u(q), Z_j u(q))$ from M to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is of rank 2 at p. By the implicit function theorem, $F^{-1}(0)$ is a codimension 2 submanifold at p. As $K_1 \subset F^{-1}(0)$, we conclude that K_1 is of Hausdorff dimension at most $n-2$.

To handle K_2, we note that u satisfies the following 2nd order elliptic equation by Proposition 4

$$\Delta u = -\left(\frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)u + \frac{4}{m}\mathrm{Im}A_{\alpha\sigma,\overline{\alpha}}u_{\overline{\sigma}}.$$

As K_2 is the singular nodal set of u, we have (see, e.g. [HHL])

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(K_2) < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 6. *We have on $M \backslash K$*

$$\mathrm{Re}\sum \psi_\alpha u_{\overline{\alpha}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $v = Tu = u_0$. By the second formula of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \sqrt{-1}\left(v_{\overline{\beta}}v_{\beta,0} - v_\beta v_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) = \int_M &\frac{1}{m}\left(\Delta_b v\right)^2 - \frac{4}{m}\left|\sum v_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2 \\ &- \sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\beta}v_{\overline{\alpha}}v_{\overline{\beta}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}v_\alpha v_\beta\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We will use Proposition 4 to simplify both sides. On $M\backslash K$

$$\begin{aligned}v_\alpha &= u_{0,\alpha} = \sqrt{-1}\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)u_\alpha, \\ v_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= \sqrt{-1}2\psi_{\overline{\beta}}u_\alpha + \left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}u_0 - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}u\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Diffrentiating the first equation yields $v_{\alpha,\beta} = 2\sqrt{-1}\psi_\beta u_\alpha$. As $v_{\alpha,\beta} = v_{\beta,\alpha}$, we have $\psi_\beta u_\alpha = \psi_\alpha u_\beta$. As a result, on $M\backslash K$ there are smooth real functions a, b such that

$$\psi_\alpha = (a + ib)\,u_\alpha.$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-1}\left(v_{\overline{\beta}}v_{\beta,0} - v_\beta v_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) &= \sqrt{-1}\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\left(u_{\overline{\beta}}u_{\beta,0} - u_\beta u_{\overline{\beta},0}\right) \\ &= -\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2(2\psi + 1)\left|\partial_b u\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The integrand of the right hand side can be simplified as following

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{m}\left(\Delta_b v\right)^2 - \frac{4}{m}\left|\sum v_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2 - \sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\beta}v_{\overline{\alpha}}v_{\overline{\beta}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}v_\alpha v_\beta\right) \\ = &-\frac{4}{m}\left|\mathrm{Im}\sum v_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}\right|^2 - \sqrt{-1}\left(A_{\alpha\beta}v_{\overline{\alpha}}v_{\overline{\beta}} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}v_\alpha v_\beta\right) \\ = &-\frac{4}{m}\left(2a\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 - \frac{m}{4}\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)u\right)^2 - \left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 2\psi\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\ = &-\frac{m}{4}\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 u^2 + 4a\left|\partial_b u\right|^2\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)u - \frac{16}{m}a^2\left|\partial_b u\right|^4 \\ &-\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 2\psi\left|\partial_b u\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts (see the remark below) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int -\frac{m}{4}\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 u^2 &= \mathrm{Re}\int\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}}u \\ &= -\int\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 - 4\mathrm{Re}\int\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)u\psi_{\overline{\alpha}}u_\alpha \\ &= -\int\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 - 4\int\left(2\psi + \frac{1}{2}\right)au\left|\partial_b u\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging these calculations in (5.1), we obtain

$$\frac{16}{m}\int_M a^2\left|\partial_b u\right|^4 = 0.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Re}\sum\psi_\alpha u_{\overline{\alpha}} = a\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 = 0$. □

Remark 7. *Since* $\psi \geq 0$ *on* M *and* $\psi^2 \in C^\infty(M)$. *This implies that* $0 \leq \psi(z) \leq B|z - z_0|$ *for all* $z_0 \in K$ *and some* $B \geq 1$. *Thus* $\psi \in C^\infty(M \setminus K) \cap Lip(M)$. *Since the Hausdröff dimension of* K *is* $2m-1$, *one has that* $\psi \in W^{1,\infty}(M)$. *Therefore, for any smooth function* $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *one has*

$$-\int f(\psi) u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}} u = \int f(\psi) \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 + \int f'(\psi) \psi_{\overline{\alpha}} u_\alpha u.$$

We now prove Lemma 4. Suppose ψ^2 is not identically zero. Let ε^2 be a regular value of ψ^2 such that $\{\psi \geq \varepsilon\}$ is a nonempty domain with smooth boundary. Define

$$F = \begin{cases} \psi\left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)^2, & \text{if } \psi \geq \varepsilon; \\ 0 & \text{if } \psi < \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then $F \in W^{1,2}(M)$. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F u^{2(k+1)} &= -\frac{4}{m} \mathrm{Re} \int_M F \left|u\right|^{2k+1} u_{\alpha,\overline{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{4\left(2k+1\right)}{m} \mathrm{Re} \int_M F u^{2k} \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{m} \int_{\{\psi \geq \varepsilon\}} \left(3\psi^2 - 4\varepsilon\psi + \varepsilon^2\right) \left|u\right|^{2k+1} \mathrm{Re} u_\alpha \psi_{\overline{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{4\left(2k+1\right)}{m} \int_M F u^{2k} \left|\partial_b u\right|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

by Lemma 6. Integrating by parts again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M F u^{2k} \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\ = \quad & \int_M u^{2k} \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 \psi \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \\ = \quad & -\mathrm{Re}\sqrt{-1} \int_M u^{2k} \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 A_{\alpha\beta} u_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\beta}} \\ = \quad & -\mathrm{Re} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2k+1} \int_M \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 A_{\alpha\beta} \left(u^{2k+1}\right)_{\overline{\alpha}} u_{\overline{\beta}} \\ = \quad & \mathrm{Re} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2k+1} \left(\int_M \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}} u^{2k+1} u_{\overline{\beta}} + 2 \int_{\{\psi \geq \varepsilon\}} \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right) u^{2k+1} \psi_{\overline{\alpha}} A_{\alpha\beta} u_{\overline{\beta}} \right) \\ = \quad & \mathrm{Re} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2k+1} \int_M \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}} u^{2k+1} u_{\overline{\beta}} - \frac{2}{2k+1} \int_{\{\phi \geq \varepsilon\}} \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right) \psi u^{2k+1} \mathrm{Re} \psi_{\overline{\alpha}} u_\alpha \\ = \quad & \mathrm{Re} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2k+1} \int_M \left(\psi - \varepsilon\right)_+^2 A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}} u^{2k+1} u_{\overline{\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6 again. Let C be super norm of $\mathrm{div} A = A_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\alpha}}$. Then by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F u^{2k} \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon\left(2k+1\right)} \int_M F \left|u\right|^{2k+1} \left|\partial_b u\right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon\left(2k+1\right)} \left(\int_M F \left|u\right|^{2(k+1)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M F \left|u\right|^{2k} \left|\partial_b u\right|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M Fu^{2k}\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 &\leq \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon\left(2k+1\right)}\right]^2\int_M F\left|u\right|^{2(k+1)}\\ &\leq \frac{4C^2}{\varepsilon^2 m\left(2k+1\right)}\int_M Fu^{2k}\left|\partial_b u\right|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (5.2). Choosing k such that $\frac{4C^2}{\varepsilon^2 m(2k+1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ yields

$$\int_M Fu^{2k}\left|\partial_b u\right|^2 = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore Lemma 4 is proved.

Inspecting the proof of the rigidity, it is clear that we only need to have a non-constant function u satisfying (4.1) and (4.2) as all the other identities used in the proof are derived from these two. In summary, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let M be a compact pseudo-hermitian manifold of dimension $2m+1 \geq 5$. Suppose there exists a non-constant function $u \in C^\infty\left(M\right)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\alpha,\beta} &= 0,\\ u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Then M is CR equivalent to the CR sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2m+1}, 2\sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial}(|z|^2-1))$.

This is equivalent to Theorem 5 by scaling.

6. Remarks for the case $2m+1=3$

Generally speaking, 3-dimensional CR manifolds are more subtle to understand than higher dimensional ones. A famous example is the CR embedding problem. In our situation, it is not clear if Theorem 8 is true in 3 dimensions. The reason is that (4.3) does not follow from (4.1) and (4.2) in 3 dimensions (In deriving (4.8) we need at least 2 indices). The arguments in Section 5 do yield the following weaker rigidity theorem in dimension 3 with (4.3) as an extra condition.

Theorem 9. *Let M be a 3-dimensional compact pseudo-hermitian manifold. Suppose there exists a non-constant function $u \in C^\infty\left(M\right)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\alpha,\beta} &= 0,\\ u_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}u + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta},\\ u_{0,\alpha} &= 2A_{\alpha\sigma}u_{\overline{\sigma}} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Then M is CR equivalent to the CR sphere $(\mathbb{S}^3, 2\sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial}(|z|^2-1))$.

In fact, the eigenvalue estimate (Theorems 2) is not known in the 3-dimensional case without any extra condition. Chang and Chiu in [CC2] proved the estimate under the extra condition that the Panietz operator is nonnegative. They also proved that M is CR equivalent to the sphere if equality holds and the torsion is zero.

Recall that the Panietz operator P_0 acting on functions on a pseudo-hermitian manifold M of dimension $2m+1$ is defined by

$$P_0 u = 4\,\mathrm{Re}\left(u_{\overline{\beta},\beta\alpha} + m\sqrt{-1}A_{\alpha\beta}u_{\overline{\beta}}\right)_{,\alpha}.$$

It is proved by Graham and Lee [GL] that P_0 is always nonnegative if M is compact and of dimension ≥ 5 in the sense

$$\int_M uP_0u \geq 0$$

for any smooth function u. In 3 dimensions, P_0 is known to be nonnegative if the torsion is zero.

With our method, we can remove the torsion-free condition in the characterization of the equality case in Chang and Chiu's work.

Theorem 10. *If $P_0 \geq 0$ and $R_{1\overline{1}} -$ Tor$(u_{\overline{1}}, u_{\overline{1}}) \geq |u_1|^2$, then $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and the equality holds if and only if (M^3, θ) is CR equivalent to S^3.*

Remark 8. *First part of the theorem was proved by Chiu and the second part of the theorem was proved by Chang and Chiu under an extra condition M being torsion free. Both results can be found in* [CC2].

We sketch the proof here. By Lemma 2.2 in [CC1], one has

$$\int_M u_0^2 = \int_M (\Delta_b u)^2 - 2\sqrt{-1}(A_{11}u_{\overline{1}}^2 - A_{\overline{1}\overline{1}}u_1^2)] - \frac{1}{2}\int_M uP_0u.$$

Using Proposition 3, one can show

$$\mathrm{Re}\left[\sqrt{-1}\int_M (u_{\overline{1}}u_{1,0} - u_1u_{\overline{1},0})\right] = -\int_M (u_0)^2 - \int_M \sqrt{-1}(A_{11}u_{\overline{1}}^2 - A_{\overline{1}\overline{1}}u_1^2).$$

Let

$$\Delta_b u = -\lambda_1 u.$$

Then

$$\int_M (\Delta_b u)^2 = 2\lambda_1 \int_M |\partial u|^2.$$

By the Bochner formula (Theorem 4), Lemma 1 when $m = 1$ and the above two identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_M |u_{1,1}|^2 + |u_{1,\bar{1}}|^2 - \lambda_1|\partial u|^2 + R_{1\bar{1}}u_1u_{\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] \\
&\quad + \mathrm{Re}\sqrt{-1}\int_M (u_{\bar{1}}u_{1,0} - u_1u_{\bar{1},0}) \\
&= \int_M |u_{1,1}|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^2u^2}{4} + \frac{u_0^2}{4} - \lambda_1|\partial u|^2 + R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] \\
&\quad - \int_M (u_0)^2 - \int_M \sqrt{-1}(A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2) \\
&= \int_M |u_{1,1}|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|\partial u|^2 + R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] - \frac{3}{4}\int_M (u_0)^2 \\
&= \int_M |u_{1,1}|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|\partial u|^2 + R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{4}\int_M [2\lambda_1|\partial u|^2 - 2\sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] - \frac{1}{2}uP_0u] \\
&= \int_M |u_{1,1}|^2 + \frac{3}{2}uP_0u + \int_M [-2\lambda_1|\partial u|^2 + R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1|^2 + \sqrt{-1}[A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2] \\
&\geq \int_M [-2\lambda_1|\partial u|^2 + |\partial u|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

The equality implies that

$$u_{1,1} = 0, \quad u_{1,\bar{1}} = -\frac{u}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_0 \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1|^2 + \sqrt{-1}(A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2) = |u_1|^2, \quad \text{on } M. \tag{6.2}$$

Write $A_{11} = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}|A_{11}|$, $u_{\bar{1}}^2 = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}|u_1|^2$ and $X = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}|X|$, by (1.3), we have

$$R_{1\bar{1}}|X|^2 + \sqrt{-1}|A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}||X|^2(e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta)} - e^{-\sqrt{-1}(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta)})| \geq |X|^2, \quad \text{on } M. \tag{6.3}$$

for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Choosing $\theta = -\theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2}$, one has

$$R_{1\bar{1}}|X|^2 - 2|A_{11}||X|^2| \geq |X|^2, \quad \text{on } M. \tag{6.4}$$

Therefore, by comparing (6.2) and (6.4) with $X = u_{\bar{1}}$,

$$\sqrt{-1}(A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}^2 - A_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}u_1^2) = -2|A_{11}||u_1|^2, \quad A_{11} = \sqrt{-1}|A_{11}|\frac{u_1^2}{|u_1|^2}.$$

Notice that

$$R_{1\bar{1}}|u_1 + tX|^2 - 2|A_{11}||u_1 + tX|^2 - |u_1 + tX|^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{on } M,$$

and equality holds $t = 0$. Therefore we obtain by differentiating at $t = 0$

$$R_{1\bar{1}}u_1 + 2\sqrt{-1}A_{11}u_{\bar{1}} = u_1.$$

By the 6th formula in Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{-u_1}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_{0,1} &= u_{1,\bar{1}1}-u_{1,1\bar{1}}\\
&= -\sqrt{-1}u_{1,0}-R_{1\bar{1}}u_1\\
&= -\sqrt{-1}u_{0,1}+\sqrt{-1}A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}-R_{1\bar{1}}u_1\\
&= -\sqrt{-1}u_{0,1}+3\sqrt{-1}A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}-u_1
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}u_1+\sqrt{-1}u_{0,1}=2\sqrt{-1}A_{11}u_{\bar{1}},\quad u_{0,1}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}u_1+2A_{11}u_{\bar{1}}.$$

Applying Theorem 8, the proof of Theorem 9 is complete.

REFERENCES

[CC1] S. Chang and H. Chiu, Nonnegativity of CR Paneitz operator and its application to the CR Obata's theorem. J. Geom. Anal. 19 (2009), no. 2, 261–287.

[CC2] S. Chang and H. Chiu, On the CR analogue of Obata's theorem in a pseudohermitian 3-manifold. Math. Ann. 345 (2009), no. 1, 33–51.

[C] I. Chavel, **Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry**, Academic Press, Inc., New York, London, Toronto, Tokyo, 1984.

[DT] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini, **Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds**. Birkhauser, 2006.

[EG] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, **Measure theory and fine properties of functions.** CRC Press, 1992.

[G] A. Greenleaf, The first eigenvalue of a sub-Laplacian on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 10 (1985), no. 2, 191–217.

[GL] C. R. Graham and J. M. Lee, Smooth solution of degenerate Laplacians on strictly pseudoconvex domains. Duke Math. Jour. 57 (1988), no. 3, 697–720.

[H] Xiaojun Huang, On an n-manifold in the complex n-space near an elliptic complex tangent, Jour. of Amer. Math. Soc. Vol 11, 1998, 669–692.

[HHL] Q. Han, R. Hardt and F.H. Lin, Geometric measure of singular sets of elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), no. 11-12, 1425-1443.

[HKM] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen and O. Martio, **Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations**. Dover Publications, 2006.

[Lee] John M. Lee, *Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 157-178.

[L] A. Lichnerowicz, Gomtrie des groupes de transformations, Dunod, Paris (1958).

[LL] S.-Y. Li and H.-S. Luk, The sharp lower bound for the first positive eigenvalue of a sub-Laplacian on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), no.3, 789–798.

[LT] S.-Y. Li and My-An Tran, On the CR-Obata theorem and some extremal problems associated to pseudoscalar curvature on the real ellipsoids in C^{n+1}. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 363 (2011), no. 8, 4027–4042.

[O] M. Obata, Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere. J. Math. Soc. Japan 14 (1962) 333–340.

[IV] S. Ivanov and D. Vassilev, An Obata type result for the first eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian on a CR manifold with a divergence free torsion, arXiv:1203.5812.

[SY] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, **Lectures on differential geometry**. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[T] N. Tanaka, **A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds**. Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 9. Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1975.

[W] S. M. Webster, Pseudohermitian geometry on a real hypersurface, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 13 (1978), 25–41.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697
E-mail address: `sli@math.uci.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824
E-mail address: `xwang@math.msu.edu`